## **United States District Court**

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \$
vs. \$ Case No. 4:04cr125
\$ (Judge Schneider)
DEREK LAMONT WILSON, JR. \$

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pending before the Court is the request for revocation of Defendant's supervised release. After the District Judge referred the matter to this Court for a report and recommendation, the Court conducted a hearing on September 7, 2010 to determine whether Defendant violated his supervised release. Defendant was represented by Denise Benson. The Government was represented by Randall Blake.

On March 11, 2005, Defendant was sentenced by the Honorable Paul Brown to thirty-seven (37) months' custody followed by three (3) years of supervised release for the offense of Felon in Possession of a Firearm. On July 17, 2009, Defendant completed his period of imprisonment and began service of his supervised term.

On June 15, 2010, the U.S. Pretrial Services Officer executed a Petition for Warrant for Offender Under Supervision. The petition asserted that Defendant violated the following mandatory condition: the defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The petition asserted that Defendant violated the following standard condition: the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance or paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a physician. The petition alleges violation of the following special condition: the defendant shall participate in a program of testing and treatment for drug abuse, under the guidance and direction

of the U.S. Probation Office, until such time as the defendant is released from the program by the

probation officer.

Prior to the Government putting on its case, Defendant entered a plea of true to these

violations by admitting that he submitted two urine specimens that tested positive for marijuana and

that he failed to submit urine specimens on nine different occasions. All remaining allegations were

dismissed by agreement of the parties. The Court recommends that Defendant's supervised release

be revoked.

**RECOMMENDATION** 

The Court recommends that the District Judge revoke Defendant's supervised release.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the Court recommends that Defendant be committed

to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of six (6) months with thirty (30)

months of supervised release to follow. It is also recommended that Defendant be housed in the

Bureau of Prisons, Seagoville Unit.

After the Court announced the recommended sentence, Defendant executed the consent to

revocation of supervised release and waiver of right to be present and speak at sentencing.

Defendant and the Government also waived their right to file objections.

SIGNED this 7th day of September, 2010.

amos L. MAZZANT SENT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE